Kinetic analysis of the sideband instability in a helical wiggler free-electron laser for electrons trapped near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential
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A kinetic formalism based on the Vlasov-Maxwell equations is used to investigate properties of the sideband instability for a tenuous, relativistic electron beam propagating through a constant-amplitude helical wiggler magnetic field (wavelength $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0$ and normalized amplitude $a_w = eB_x/mc^2k_0$). The analysis is carried out for perturbations about an equilibrium Bernstein-Greene-Kruskal state in which the distribution of beam electrons $G_x(\gamma')$ and the wiggler magnetic field coexist in quasisteady equilibrium with a finite-amplitude, circularly polarized, primary electromagnetic wave $(\omega_x, k_x)$ with normalized amplitude $a_x = eB_x/mc^2k_x$ and constant equilibrium wave phase. Particular emphasis is placed on calculating detailed properties of the sideband instability for the case where a uniform distribution of trapped electrons $G_x(\gamma')$ is localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential moving with velocity $v_x = \omega_x/(k_x + k_0)$ relative to the laboratory frame. For harmonic numbers $n \geq 2$, it is found that stable (Im$\omega = 0$) sideband oscillations exist for $(\omega - (k_x + k_0)\omega_p)^2 \approx n^2\Omega_k^2$. Here, $(\omega, k)$ are the perturbation frequency and wave number in the laboratory frame, $\Omega_k = \sqrt{[a_x \omega_c^4 c^8/(\gamma_x m^2)]^{1/2}}$ is the bounce frequency, $\gamma_x m^2$ is the maximum energy of the trapped electrons in the ponderomotive frame, and $k_x$ and $\gamma_x$ are defined by $k_x = (k_x + k_0)/\gamma_x$ and $\gamma_x = (1 - v_x^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$. On the other hand, for the fundamental $(n = 1)$ mode, instability exists (Im$\omega > 0$) over a wide range of system parameters $\Omega_k/\gamma k_0 << 1$ and $\Omega_0/c << 1$, where $\Gamma_0^2 = (a_x^2/4)(\gamma x m^2 c^2 k_x^2)(1 + \nu_x/c)[c/\omega_x(\gamma_x m^2)]$ and $\nu_x = (4\pi n^2e^2/m)^{1/2}$ is the plasma frequency of the trapped electrons. Moreover, the maximum growth rate and bandwidth of the sideband instability for the fundamental $(n = 1)$ mode exhibit a sensitive dependence on the normalized pump strength $\Omega_k/\Gamma_0 k_0 c$.

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Free-electron lasers,\textsuperscript{1–4} as evidenced by the growing experimental\textsuperscript{5–19} and theoretical\textsuperscript{20–52} literature on this subject, can be effective sources for the generation of coherent radiation by intense electron beams. Recent experimental investigations\textsuperscript{15–19} have been very successful over a wide range of beam energy and current ranging from experiments at low energy (150–250 keV) and low current (5–45 A),\textsuperscript{19} to moderate energy (3.4 MeV) and high current (0.5 kA),\textsuperscript{17,18} to high energy (20 MeV) and low current (40 A).\textsuperscript{15,16}

Theoretical studies have included investigations of nonlinear effects\textsuperscript{20–32} and saturation mechanisms, the influence of finite geometry on linear stability properties,\textsuperscript{33–38} novel magnetic field geometries for radiation generation,\textsuperscript{38–43} and fundamental studies of stability behavior.\textsuperscript{44–52} In a recent calculation,\textsuperscript{32} a self-consistent kinetic formalism has been developed to describe the sideband instability\textsuperscript{24} within the framework of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations for a relativistic electron beam propagating through a helical wiggler magnetic field. Unlike previous studies of the sideband instability, the analysis\textsuperscript{32} is carried out for perturbations about an equilibrium Bernstein-Greene-Kruskal (BGK) state\textsuperscript{39} in which the beam electrons, the wiggler magnetic field, and a finite-amplitude primary electromagnetic wave $(\omega_x, k_x)$ coexist in quasisteady equilibrium. In the present analysis, we make use of the general kinetic formalism developed in Ref. 32 to carry out a detailed investigation of the sideband instability for the case where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential.

The theoretical model\textsuperscript{32} and assumptions are reviewed briefly in Sec. II. The relativistic electron beam has uniform cross section and propagates in the z direction through the constant-amplitude helical magnetic wiggler field [Eq. (1)] with wavelength $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0$ and normalized amplitude $a_w = eB_x/mc^2k_0$. The theoretical model neglects longitudinal perturbations $(\delta \phi = 0)$ and transverse spatial variations $(\delta x = 0 = \partial /\partial y)$, and beam distribution functions

$$f_b(z,p,t) = \delta_b(\delta_x P_x) \delta(\delta_y P_y) G(z,p_2,t)$$

with zero transverse canonical momenta are considered [Eq. (4)]. Moreover, the stability analysis is carried out for perturbations about an equilibrium BGK state in which the distribution of beam electrons $G_x(\gamma')$ and the
wiggler magnetic field [Eq. (1)] coexist in quasisteady equilibrium with a constant-amplitude, circularly polarized, primary electromagnetic wave (ω₀,k₀) with normalized amplitude a₀ = eB₀/mc²k₀ and constant equilibrium wave phase [Eqs. (2) and (3)]. Transforming the linearized Vlasov-Maxwell equations to the ponderomotive frame moving with velocity vₑ = ω₀/(k₀ + k₀) leads to the formal dispersion relation (8) in the diagonal approximation. Here, the trapped- and untrapped-electron susceptibilities, χₜ(κ',ω') and χₐ(κ',ω'), are defined in Eqs. (10) and (14) in terms of the exact electron trajectories in the ponderomotive frame including the full influence of the finite-amplitude primary electromagnetic wave (ω₀,k₀).

Detailed properties of the sideband instability are investigated in Sec. III for the case where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential [Eq. (18)]. Assuming wave perturbations with (nearly) right-circular polarization, and neglecting the contribution of the untrapped electrons, the dispersion relation can be expressed as [Eq. (25)]

\[
\omega^2 - c^2 k^2 - \omega^2 \omega^2_{\text{pb}} = -a_0^2 \omega^2_{\text{pT}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_{\text{p}}}^{\gamma_{\text{+}}} \frac{d\gamma'}{\gamma'} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}(\gamma')}{\partial \gamma'} \gamma_{\text{p}}^2 [\omega - (k + k_0) v_p]^2 - n^2 \omega^2_B(\gamma') J_n^2(k_z \gamma_{\text{p}}(\gamma')) ,
\]

where \( \tilde{G}(\gamma') \) is the (normalized) distribution of trapped electrons,

\[
\gamma' mc^2 = [1 + (p')^2/m^2 c^2 + a_0^2 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2} a_0 c^2 (k_z')^2]^{1/2} mc^2
\]
is the electron energy in the ponderomotive frame, and \((\omega_0, k)\) denotes the perturbation frequency and wave number in the laboratory frame. To summarize the other pertinent definitions in Eq. (25), we note that

\[
k_z' = \frac{\gamma_{\text{p}}}{\gamma_{\text{p}} - k_0 - v_p/\omega_0} ,
\]

\[
v_p = \omega_0 / (k_0 + k_0) ,
\]

\[
\gamma_{\text{p}} = (1 - \omega_0^2/c^2)^{-1/2} .
\]

Moreover,

\[
\omega_{\text{p}}(\gamma') = (a_0 a_0 c^2 k_z'^2 / \gamma')^{1/2}
\]
is the bounce frequency of electrons trapped near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential, \( \omega_{\text{p}} \) is a constant of order unity, \( \omega_{\text{p}}^2 = 4 \pi n e^2 / m \) is the plasma frequency squared of the beam electrons, \( \omega_{\text{pT}}^2 = 4 \pi n e^2 / m \) is the plasma frequency squared of the trapped electrons, \( \omega_{\text{pT}} \) is the (average) density of the trapped electrons, the orbital turning points \( \pm z_{\text{T}}(\gamma') \) are determined from

\[
k_z' \gamma_{\text{p}}^2(\gamma') = (\gamma' - \gamma_{\text{+}}^2)/a_0 a_0 ,
\]

and \( \gamma_{\text{+}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{−}} \) are defined by

\[
\gamma_{\text{±}} = [1 + (a_0 \pm \omega_0 a_0) / \gamma_{\text{p}}]^{1/2} .
\]

In circumstances where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential, the stability properties calculated from Eq. (25) are relatively insensitive to the detailed form of \( \tilde{G}(\gamma') \). Therefore, in Sec. IIIA, we consider the case where the trapped electrons are uniformly distributed in \( \gamma' \) from \( \gamma' = \gamma_{\text{+}} \) to \( \gamma' = \gamma_{\text{−}} \) [Eq. (27)], where \( (\gamma_{\text{−}}')^2 - (\gamma_{\text{−}}')^2 << (\gamma_{\text{+}}')^2 - (\gamma_{\text{+}}')^2 << 4 a_0 a_0 \) for electrons trapped near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential. For the choice of distribution function in Eq. (27), the dispersion relation can be expressed as [Eq. (28)]

\[
\omega^2 - c^2 k^2 - \omega^2 \omega^2_{\text{pb}} = a_0^2 \omega^2_{\text{pT}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \Omega^2_B C_n(k_z,\omega)}{[\omega - (k + k_0) v_p]^2 - n^2 \Omega^2_B} ,
\]

where

\[
\Omega_B = \omega_{\text{p}}(\gamma_{\text{−}}) / \gamma_{\text{p}} = [a_0 a_0 c^2 (k_z)^2 / (\gamma_{\text{−}}')^2]^{1/2} ,
\]

and the nth harmonic coupling coefficient \( C_n(k,\omega) \) is defined in Eq. (29). An examination of Eq. (29) for \( (k_z)^2 \gamma_{\text{−}}^2 / (\gamma_{\text{+}}')^2 / 4 << 1 \) shows that the harmonic contributions in Eq. (28) decrease rapidly with increasing \( n \) for \( n \geq 2 \). Moreover, it is found that the harmonic contributions in Eq. (28) for \( n \geq 2 \) lead to stable oscillations with \( \operatorname{Im} \omega = 0 \).

In Secs. III B and III C, we investigate properties of the sideband instability for the fundamental (n = 1) mode. Neglecting the harmonic contributions in Eq. (28) for \( n \geq 2 \) leads to the dispersion relation (39), which can be approximated by [Eq. (42)]

\[
[(\delta \omega - v_p \delta k)^2 - \Omega^2_B] \left[ (\delta \omega - v_p \delta k) - c k_0 \frac{\delta k}{\delta k_0} \right] = \Gamma_0 \frac{\delta \omega}{\delta k_0} k_0^3 .
\]

Here, \( \delta \omega = \omega - \omega_0 \) and \( \delta k = k - k_0 \), where

\[
\delta k_0 = \gamma_{\text{+}}^2 / (1 + v_p/c) (v_p/c) k_0
\]

and \( \delta k_0 = c \delta k \) are the upshifted wave number and frequency. Moreover, the small parameter

\[
\epsilon_0 = (a_0 a_0 / 2 \gamma_{\text{−}}^2 k_0^2 / (1 + v_p/c)^{-1} \ll 1
\]
describes the (small) shift in wave number and frequency produced by beam dielectric effects. Finally,

\[
\Omega_B / c k_0 = \left[ (a_0 a_0)^2 / (\gamma_{\text{−}}')^2 \right] / (1 + v_p/c) \ll 1
\]

for \( a_0 \ll 1 \) and \( \omega_0 \approx c k_0 \), and the small dimensionless parameter \( \Gamma_0 \) is defined by [Eq. (45)]
\[ \Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{a_w^2}{(1 + a_w^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\tilde{\omega}^2_{RT}}{\gamma^2_{eC} k_0^2 v_p/c} \left( 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} \right) v_p/c < 1. \]

Equation (42) is a cubic equation which determines the complex oscillation frequency \((\delta\omega - \nu_p \delta k)\) in terms of \(\delta k / \tilde{k}_c\) and the dimensionless parameters \(\Gamma_0, \Omega_B / c k_0, \) and \(\epsilon_b\). A detailed analysis of Eq. (42) [or equivalently, Eqs. (44) or (48)] is presented in Sec. III C. As a general remark, for \(\Gamma_0 < 1\), it is found that the lower sideband exhibits instability \((\text{Im}(\delta\omega) > 0)\) for \(\delta\omega\) and \(\delta k\) in the vicinity of the simultaneous resonance conditions

\[ \delta\omega - \nu_p \delta k = -\Omega_B, \]

\[ \delta\omega - \nu_p \delta k = \frac{c k_0}{\tilde{k}_c} \left[ \frac{\delta k}{\tilde{k}_c} + \epsilon_b \right]. \]

Denoting the simultaneous solutions to these equations by \((\delta\omega, \delta k)\), we obtain for the central wave number \(\tilde{k} = \tilde{k}_c + \delta k\) and frequency \(\tilde{\omega} = \delta\omega + \delta\omega\) of the lower sideband [Eq. (35)]

\[ \tilde{k} = \gamma^2_p \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} \right] k_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{\Omega_B}{\tilde{k}_c \nu_p} \right], \]

\[ \tilde{\omega} = \gamma^2_p \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} \right] k_0 \nu_p \left[ 1 - \frac{\nu_p}{c} \frac{\Omega_B}{\tilde{k}_c \nu_p} \right], \]

where

\[ \tilde{k}_c = \gamma^2_p (1 + v_p/c) (v_p/c) k_0. \]

Moreover, it is found that the corresponding growth rate \(\text{Im}(\delta\omega)\) and instability bandwidth (in \(\delta k\) space) exhibit a sensitive dependence on the normalized pump strength \(\Omega_B / \Gamma_0 k_0 c\). For example, for \(k = \tilde{k}_c + \delta k = \tilde{k}\), the characteristic maximum growth rate \(\text{Im}(\delta\omega)\) calculated from Eq. (42) is given by [Eq. (49)]

\[ \text{Im}(\delta\omega) = \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{(2)^{1/2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\Omega_B^3}{27 \Gamma_0^3 k_0^3 c^3} \right]^{1/3}, \]

\[ \times \left[ \left[ 1 + \frac{\Omega_B^3}{27 \Gamma_0^3 k_0^3 c^3} \right]^{-1/2} \frac{\Omega_B^3}{27 \Gamma_0^3 k_0^3 c^3} \right]^{2/3} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Omega_B^3}{27 \Gamma_0^3 k_0^3 c^3} \right]^{-1/2} \frac{\Omega_B^3}{27 \Gamma_0^3 k_0^3 c^3} \right]^{2/3}. \]

In the weak-pump regime \((\Omega_B / \Gamma_0 k_0 c << 1)\), the instability is relatively broadband, and the characteristic maximum growth rate is [Eq. (50)]

\[ \text{Im}(\delta\omega) = \frac{(3)^{1/2}}{2} \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{(2)^{1/2}}. \]

On the other hand, in the strong-pump regime \((\Omega_B / \Gamma_0 k_0 c >> 1)\), the characteristic maximum growth rate is [Eq. (51)]

\[ \text{Im}(\delta\omega) = \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{(2)^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{\Omega_B} \right]^{1/2}, \]

and the corresponding (narrow) bandwidth is given by [Eq. (56)]

\[ \Delta k = 2 \frac{\Gamma_0 c}{v_p} \left[ \frac{2 \Gamma_0 k_0 c}{\Omega_B} \right]^{1/2}. \]

From Eqs. (50), (51), and (56), we note that the growth rate and bandwidth of the sideband instability are greatly reduced as the dimensionless pump strength is increased to the regime where \(\Omega_B / \Gamma_0 k_0 c >> 1\).

As a final point, the dispersion relation (25) and related analysis in Sec. III are valid for electromagnetic wave perturbations with right-circular polarization, in which case it is found that only the lower sideband exhibits instability. A completely parallel analysis can be carried out in circumstances where the wave perturbations have left-circular polarization. In this case, it is found that the role of the lower and the upper sidesbands is reversed, and the upper sideband exhibits instability for perturbations with left-circular polarization.

## II. KINETIC DISPERSION RELATION

The present analysis assumes a relativistic electron beam with uniform cross section propagating in the z direction through the constant-amplitude helical wiggler magnetic field \(B_w(x) = \nabla \times A_w(x)\) with vector potential

\[ A_w(x) = -\frac{\hat{B}_w}{k_0} [\cos(k_0 x) \hat{e}_x + \sin(k_0 x) \hat{e}_y]. \]

Here, \(\lambda_0 = 2\pi / k_0 = \text{const}\) is the wavelength, and \(\hat{B}_w = \text{const}\) is the amplitude of the wiggler magnetic field. It is assumed that the electron beam is sufficiently tenuous that the Compton-regime approximation is valid with negligibly small longitudinal fields \((\delta\phi \approx 0)\). Moreover, the beam density and current are assumed to be sufficiently small that the influence of equilibrium self-electric and self-magnetic fields on the particle trajectories and stability behavior can be neglected. That is, the present analysis neglects the effects of the self-electric field and the self-magnetic field associated with the equilibrium space charge and axial current, respectively, of the electron beam. For purposes of investigating the sideband instability, it is also assumed that perturbations are about a circularly polarized, constant-amplitude, monochromatic, electromagnetic wave \((\omega, k_e)\) with vector potential

\[ A_e(x,t) = \frac{\hat{B}_e}{k_e} [\cos(k_e x - \omega_t t) \hat{e}_x - \sin(k_e x - \omega_t t) \hat{e}_y], \]

and electric and magnetic fields given by
\[ \mathbf{E}_t(x,t) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}_t(x,t), \]
\[ \mathbf{B}_t(x,t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_t(x,t). \]
(3)

Here, \( \mathbf{B}_t = \text{const} \) is the magnetic field amplitude of the electromagnetic wave. The electromagnetic wave described by Eqs. (2) and (3) should be viewed as the primary free-electron-laser (FEL) signal that develops after a period of linear growth and saturation. The present analysis assumes that the distribution of beam electrons, the wiggler field [Eq. (1)], and the primary electromagnetic wave field [Eqs. (2) and (3)] coexist in a quasi-steady equilibrium BGK state. Moreover, we examine the class of exact solutions to the fully nonlinear Vlasov equation of the form \(^{27,48}\)
\[ f_b(x,p,t) = \hat{\rho}_b \delta(P_p) \delta(P_x) G(x,p_x,t), \]
(4)
where \( \hat{\rho}_b = \text{const} \) is the density, and
\[ P_x = p_x - (e/c) A_{x1}(x) - (e/c) A_{x2}(x,t) - (e/c) \delta A_x(x,t), \]
and
\[ P_y = p_y - (e/c) A_{y1}(x) - (e/c) A_{y2}(x,t) - (e/c) \delta A_y(x,t), \]
are the transverse canonical momenta. Within the context of the assumptions in the present analysis, we note that \( P_x \) and \( P_y \) are exact single-particle constants of the motion. Moreover, the class of distribution functions with \( P_x = 0 = P_y \) in Eq. (4) corresponds to an "ideal" electron beam with zero transverse emittance. The Vlasov-Maxwell equations \(^{32}\) are then linearized for small-amplitude perturbations about the equilibrium BGK state \(^{29}\) characterized by the wiggler magnetic field (normalized amplitude \( = a_w = eB_w/mc^2k_0 \)), the primary electromagnetic wave \( (\omega, k_0) \) (normalized amplitude \( = a_0 = eB_0/mc^2k_0 \)), and the corresponding self-consistent distribution of beam electrons \( G_s(x') \). Here,
\[ \gamma' mc^2 = \left[ 1 + (p_z')^2/m^2c^2 + a_0^2 + a_s^2 \right]^{-1/2} mc^2 \]
is the electron energy in the ponderomotive frame moving with axial velocity
\[ v_p = \frac{\omega}{k_0}, \]
(5)
and \( k'_p \) is defined by \( k'_p = (k_0 + k_0)/\gamma_p \), where \( \gamma_p = (1 - v_p^2/c^2)^{-1/2} \). In the absence of wave perturbations \( \delta A_x = \delta A_y \) about the electromagnetic field configuration described by Eqs. (1)–(3), it should be noted that the energy \( \gamma' mc^2 \) in the ponderomotive frame [defined prior to Eq. (5)] is an exact constant of the motion. Therefore, in ponderomotive frame variables, the corresponding distribution function
\[ f_b = \hat{\rho}_b \delta(P'_p) \delta(P'_x) G_s(x') \]
is an exact equilibrium solution \(^{29,32}\) to the fully nonlinear Vlasov equation.

It should be emphasized that to maintain a primary electromagnetic wave with constant amplitude and constant phase \( \delta_z = 0 \) in Eq. (2) necessarily requires both untrapped- and trapped-electron populations \(^{29,32}\). Indeed, the Maxwell equations for \( A_t(x,t) \) can be used to derive an integral equation which expresses the distribution of trapped electrons \( G_{t1}'(x') \) directly in terms of the distribution of untrapped electrons \( G_{s1}'(x') \) [Eq. (48) of Ref. 29].

To summarize briefly, the linearized Vlasov-Maxwell equations are transformed to the ponderomotive frame, where it is found that the linearized equations for the perturbed distribution function \( \delta G(x',p_z,t') \) and the normalized vector potential
\[ \delta A \equiv (e/mc^2) \text{exp}(\mp ik_0x') \left[ \delta A_s(x',t') + \pm i \delta A_p(x',t') \right] \]
assume particularly simple forms. In the ponderomotive frame ("primed" variables), the linearized Vlasov-Maxwell equations are combined to give the eigenvalue equation \(^{32}\)
\[ \left( (\omega')^2 + c^2 \frac{d^2}{dz'^2} - c^2 k_0^2 \pm 2i k_0 c^2 \gamma_p \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} + \frac{i \omega' v_p}{c^2} \right\} - \alpha_s \zeta_{pb} \right) \delta \hat{A} = \delta \hat{A} \pm (z') \]
\[ = -\alpha_0 \zeta_{pb} \left[ \delta \hat{A}^+ (z') + \delta \hat{A}^- (z') \right] + \frac{i}{2} \omega' a_0 \zeta_{pb}^2 \int \frac{dp_z'}{(\gamma')^2} \frac{\partial G_{s1}'(x',t')}{\partial \gamma'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt'' \exp \left[ -i \omega'(t'' - t') \right] \]
\[ \times \left[ \delta \hat{A}^+ (z''(t'')) + \delta \hat{A}^- (z''(t'')) \right] \]
(6)

\[ df'' \left( \frac{dz''}{dt''} \right)^2 = c^2 [\gamma''^2 - 1 - a_s^2(z'')] \]
(7)

for the potential amplitude \( \delta \hat{A} \pm (z') \) and the complex oscillation frequency \( \omega' \). Here, an FEL oscillator is assumed, with \( \text{Im} \omega' > 0 \) corresponding to temporal growth. Moreover, \( G_{t1}'(x') \) is the equilibrium distribution function in the ponderomotive frame, \( \zeta_{pb}^2 = 4\pi \hat{\rho}_b e^2/m \) is the nonrelativistic plasma frequency-squared, and \( \alpha_s(k'_p z'' \pm) \) and \( \alpha_0(k_0 z') \) are coefficients of order unity. An important feature of the eigenvalue equation (6) is that the electron orbit \( z''(t'') \), which occurs in the orbit integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (6), can be calculated in closed analytical form in the ponderomotive frame from
\[ (\gamma'')^2 = c^2 [\gamma''^2 - 1 - a_s^2(z'')] \]
for both the trapped and untrapped electrons. In Eq. (7),
\[ \gamma^* mc^2 = \text{const} \] is the electron energy in the ponderomotive
frame, and \( a^2(z') \) is defined by
\[ a^2(z') = \frac{1}{a_0^2} a^2 - 2 \omega a_0 \cos(k_p^2 z') . \]
Equation (7) is to be solved for \( z''(t'') \) subject to the boundary
conditions \( z''(t'' = t') = z' \) and \( p^{(s)}(t'' = t') = p_s^{(s)}. \)

A Fourier decomposition of the \( z' \) dependence of the eigenvalue equation (6) leads to a matrix dispersion equa-
tion relating the Fourier components of the perturbed vector
potential. In the diagonal approximation, we neglect
the coupling of wave component \( k' \) to \( k' = \pm k_p^2 \)
\( s = 1, 2, \ldots \). For electromagnetic perturbations with
nearly right-circular polarization, we obtain the diagonal
dispersion relation \(32 \)
\[ D_T(k', \omega') = -[\chi_0^T(k', \omega') + \chi_0^T(k', \omega')] , \]
where \( D_T(k', \omega') \) is defined by
\[ D_T(k', \omega') = (\omega')^2 - \frac{c^2}{a_0^2} (a_0^2 - 2k_p^2) , \]
and \( a_0 \) is a constant coefficient of order unity. In the dispersion
relation (8), using techniques similar to those developed by Goldman \(33,34 \),
for electrostatic trapped-particle instabilities in nonrelativistic plasma, the
trapped-electron susceptibility \( \chi_0^T(k', \omega') \) can be expressed in
the classic form of a sum over oscillators \(33 \)
\[ \chi_0^T(k', \omega') = -\frac{1}{2} a_0^2 \omega^2 \sum_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\gamma' \frac{F_n^T(\gamma')}{(\omega')^2 - a_0^2 \omega^2(\gamma')} , \]
where the oscillator strength \( F_n^T(\gamma') \) is defined by
\[ F_n^T(\gamma') = -\omega^2 \frac{m c}{(\gamma')^2} \frac{dG_T}{d\gamma'} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\gamma' \frac{a_n^T(z', \gamma')}{(\gamma'^2 - a^2(z'))^{1/2}} \]

Here, the coefficient \( a_n^T(z', \gamma') \) is defined by
\[ a_n^T(z', \gamma') = \frac{1}{\tau_B(\gamma')} \int_{0}^{\tau_B(\gamma')} d\tau \exp \left[ ik \left[ z''(\tau) - z' \right] - i n \omega_B(\gamma') \tau \right] , \]
where \( \tau = t'' - t' \),
\[ \omega_B(\gamma') = \frac{n \Omega(\gamma')}{2} \]
is the bounce frequency of a trapped electron with energy \( \gamma' mc^2 \), \( \omega_B(\gamma') = \frac{2\pi}{\omega(\gamma')} \) is the bounce period, \( F(\eta, \kappa_T) \)
is the elliptic integral of the first kind,
\[ \tilde{\omega}(\gamma') = \left[ (\gamma')^2 - (\gamma^-)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]
is the bounce frequency near the bottom of the pondero-
motive potential, \( \kappa_T \) is defined by
\[ \kappa_T = \left[ (\gamma')^2 - (\gamma^-)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]
and \( \gamma^* = 2\pi/k_p^2 \) is the wavelength of the ponderomotive
potential. In Eq. (11), \( G_T(\gamma') \) is the energy distribution of
the trapped electrons, and the orbital turning points
\( \pm z^*_T(\gamma') \) are determined from \(33 \)
\[ (\gamma')^2 = (\gamma^-)^2 + 4a_0 a_1 \sin^2 \left[ k_p^2 z^*_T(\gamma') / 2 \right] . \]

In Eqs. (10) and (13), assuming \( a_0 > 0 \) and \( a_1 > 0 \) without
loss of generality, the quantities \( \gamma^*_+ \) and \( \gamma^*_- \) are defined by
\[ \gamma^*_T = \left[ 1 + (a_0 \pm a_1)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]
corresponding to the maximum \( \gamma^*_+ \) and minimum \( \gamma^*_- \)
allowable energy of an electron trapped in the pondero-
motive potential (Fig. 1). In a similar manner, the
untrapped-electron susceptibility \( \chi_0^U(k', \omega') \) occurring in the dispersion relation (8) can be expressed as \(33 \)

\[ \chi_0^U(k', \omega') = -\frac{1}{2} a_0^2 \omega^2 \sum_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\gamma' \frac{F_n^U(\gamma')}{(\omega')^2 - a_0^2 \omega^2(\gamma')} , \]
where the oscillator strength \( F_n^U(\gamma') \) is defined by
\[ F_n^U(\gamma') = -\omega^2 \frac{mc}{(\gamma')^2} \frac{dG_U}{d\gamma'} \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\gamma' \frac{a_n^U(z', \gamma')}{(\gamma'^2 - a^2(z'))^{1/2}} \]
and the coefficient \( a_n^U(z', \gamma') \) is defined by
\[ a_n^U(z', \gamma') = \frac{1}{\tau_U(\gamma')} \int_{0}^{\tau_U(\gamma')} d\tau \exp \left[ ik \left[ z''(\tau) - z' \right] - i (k' + nk_p^2) \beta_U(\gamma') \tau \right] . \]
In Eqs. (15) and (16), $\beta_0(\gamma')c$ is the axial velocity of an untrapped electron, $\tau_0(\gamma')$ is defined by

$$\tau_0(\gamma') = 2\pi / k_p \beta_0(\gamma') c,$$

$\chi^*_p(\gamma')$ is the distribution of forward-moving ($p_z > 0$) untrapped electrons, and $\chi^*_g(\gamma')$ is the distribution of backward-moving ($p_z < 0$) untrapped electrons in the ponderomotive frame.

The dispersion relation (8), together with the definitions of $D_T(k', \omega')$, $\chi^*_p(k', \omega')$, and $\chi^*_g(k', \omega')$ in Eqs. (9), (10), and (14), respectively, can be used to investigate detailed FEL stability properties over a wide range of system parameters. In this regard, the expressions for the trapped- and untrapped-electron susceptibilities in Eqs. (10) and (14) are valid for a broad class of BGK distribution functions $G_i(\gamma')$ which coexist in quasisteady equilibrium with the wiggler field [Eq. (1)] and the constant-amplitude primary electromagnetic wave $(\omega, k_z)$ [Eqs. (2) and (3)]. Note that Eqs. (10) and (14) are rich in harmonic content, with resonant behavior in the integrands occurring for $\omega^2 = n^2 \omega_0^2(\gamma')$ for the trapped electrons, and for

$$\omega' \approx \pm (k' + n k_p) \beta_0(\gamma') c$$

for the untrapped electrons.

It is important to comment on a notational point. In obtaining the eigenvalue equation (6), we have introduced the normalized vector potential

$$\delta \mathbf{A}(z, t) = \exp(\mp ik_0 z)(e / mc^2) \times [\delta \mathbf{A}_p(z, t) \pm i \delta \mathbf{A}_g(z, t)],$$

which includes the scaling factor $\exp(\mp ik_0 z)$. Subsequently, in ponderomotive-frame variables, there is a Fourier decomposition of the $z'$ dependence of

$$\delta \mathbf{A}(z', t') = \delta \mathbf{A}(z') \exp(-i \omega' t').$$

As a consequence of the scaling factor $\exp(\mp ik_0 z)$, the laboratory-frame wave number should be shifted by one additional unit of $k_0$ to give the familiar condition for beam synchronism with the ponderomotive wave. In particular, for perturbations with right-circular polarization described by the dispersion relation (8), the frequency and wave number $(\omega', k')$ in the ponderomotive frame are related to the frequency and wave number $(\omega, k)$ in the laboratory frame by

$$\omega' = \gamma_p [\omega - (k + k_0) v_p],$$

$$k' = \gamma_p (k + k_0 - v_p \omega / c^2),$$

where $v_p = \omega_0 / (k_z + k_0)$ and $\gamma_p = (1 - v_p^2 / c^2)^{-1/2}$.

III. SIDEBAND INSTABILITY

A. Dispersion relation for electrons trapped near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential

The formal dispersion relation (8) is a very general result which can be used to investigate detailed FEL stability properties over a wide range of system parameters, choices of distribution function $G_i(\gamma')$, and frequency regimes. For present purposes, we make use of Eq. (8) to investigate the sideband instability, with particular emphasis on the case where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential with

$$\frac{(k_p)^2 z_0^2(\gamma')}{4} \ll 1.$$

The corresponding orbit $z''(\tau)$ calculated from Eq. (7) then exhibits simple harmonic motion with frequency

$$\omega_0(\gamma') = [\omega_0 a c^2 (k_p)^2 / (\gamma')^2]^{1/2}.$$

While this is a very restrictive assumption, the analysis gives useful physical insights as to the nature of the instability. For present purposes, we also assume that $\omega'$ is well removed from the resonances

$$\omega' \approx \pm (k' + n k_p) \beta_0(\gamma') c$$

characteristic of the untrapped electrons, and the term $\chi^*_0(k', \omega')$ is neglected in Eq. (8). The dispersion relation (8) can then be approximated by

$$\omega' = \frac{1}{2} a c^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{n}^{n+1} d\gamma' \frac{F^T_n(\gamma')}{(\omega')^2 - n^2 \omega_0^2(\gamma')} ,$$

where the oscillator strength $F^T_n(\gamma')$ is defined in Eq. (11).

After some tedious algebraic manipulation that makes use of Eqs. (11) and (12) and the axial orbit

$$z''(\tau) = \frac{p_z}{\gamma' m \omega_0(\gamma')} \sin[\omega_0(\gamma') \tau] + z' \cos[\omega_0(\gamma') \tau]$$

calculated from Eq. (7) near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential, it can be shown that

$$F^T_n(\gamma') + F^{-T}_n(\gamma') = -\frac{mc^2 k_p}{(\gamma')^2 \omega_0(\gamma')} \frac{\partial G^T_n}{\partial \gamma'},$$

$$\times J_n^2[k' z_0(\gamma')],$$

where $J_n(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of or-
der $n$. Here, the turning points $\pm z_T(\gamma')$ are determined from

$$(k_p')^2 z_T^2(\gamma') = [(\gamma')^2 - (\gamma')^2]/a_w a_s,$$

which follows from Eq. (13) for $(k_p')^2 z_T^2(\gamma')^2 / 4 \ll 1$. Therefore, making use of Eqs. (19) and (21), the trapped-electron susceptibility can be expressed as

$$
\chi_{\delta}^{\delta}(k', \omega') = \frac{1}{4} a_w^2 \omega_p^2 \int \frac{d \gamma'}{\gamma'} \frac{d \gamma'}{\gamma'} \frac{\partial \delta^T}{\partial \gamma'} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega')^2 J_n^2[k z_T(\gamma')]}{\omega^2 - n^2 \omega_p^2(\gamma')},
$$

for electrons trapped near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential. In obtaining Eq. (22), we have introduced the renormalized trapped-electron distribution function $\tilde{G}_T^T(\gamma')$ defined by

$$
\tilde{G}_T^T(\gamma') = \frac{2(a_w a_s)^{1/2} n_k}{mc n_k} \tilde{G}_T^T(\gamma'),
$$

and

$$
\int \gamma' - \tilde{G}_T^T(\gamma') = 1,
$$

where $\omega_k^2 = 4\pi n_k e^2 / m$, and $n_k$ is the (spatially averaged) density of trapped electrons. Making use of $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n^2(x) = 1$, we express the summation in Eq. (22) as

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega')^2 J_n^2[k z_T(\gamma')]}{(\omega')^2 - n^2 \omega^2(\gamma')} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \omega_p^2(\gamma') J_n^2[k z_T(\gamma')]}{(\omega')^2 - n^2 \omega^2(\gamma')}.
$$

Making use of Eqs. (9), (22), and (24), and transforming $(\omega', k')$ in the ponderomotive frame to $(\omega, k)$ in the laboratory frame according to Eq. (17), the dispersion relation (19) can be expressed in the compact form

$$
\omega^2 - c^2 k^2 - \omega_0^2 \omega_p^2 k_0^2 = -a_w^2 \omega_p^2 \int \frac{d \gamma'}{\gamma'} \frac{d \gamma'}{\gamma'} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_T^T}{\partial \gamma'} \frac{n^2 \omega_p^2(\gamma') J_n^2[k z_T(\gamma')]}{\omega^2 - (k + k_0) v_p^2 - n^2 \omega_p^2(\gamma')}.
$$

In Eq. (25), we have absorbed the dc contribution to $\chi^{\delta}_\delta(k', \omega')$ [i.e., the "1" term in Eq. (24)] into the definition of $\omega_0$. To summarize the pertinent definitions in Eq. (25), we note that

$$
a_w = e B_w / mc k_0, \quad \omega_p^2 k_0 = 4\pi n_k e^2 / m, \quad \omega_p = e B_x / mc k_s, \quad k_p = (k_s + k_0) / \gamma_p, \quad \gamma_p = (1 - v_p^2 / c^2)^{-1/2}, \quad v_p = \omega_s / (k_s + k_0),
$$

$$
(k_p')^2 z_T^2(\gamma') = [(\gamma')^2 - (\gamma')^2]/a_w a_s,
$$

and

$$
(\gamma')^2 = [1 + (a_w a_s)^2]^{1/2}.
$$

Moreover, the quantity $k_p(k, \omega)$ occurring in the argument of the Bessel function in Eq. (25) is defined by [see Eq. (17)]

$$
k_p = \gamma_p (k + k_0 - v_p \omega / c^2).
$$

In circumstances where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential, the stability properties predicted by Eq. (25) are relatively insensitive to the detailed form of $\tilde{G}_T^T(\gamma')$. Therefore, for present purposes, we consider the case where the trapped electrons are uniformly distributed in $\gamma'$ from $\gamma' = \gamma'_M$ (Fig. 2), i.e.,

$$
\tilde{G}_T^T(\gamma') = \begin{cases} 2 & \gamma'_- < \gamma' < \gamma'_M \\ 0 & \gamma' > \gamma'_M \end{cases}.
$$

Here, the normalization constant is chosen to be consistent with Eq. (23). Moreover, $\gamma'_M$ exceeds $\gamma'_-$ by only

![FIG. 2. Plot of trapped-electron distribution function $\tilde{G}_T^T(\gamma')$ in Eq. (27) vs $\gamma'$ for electrons trapped near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential.](image)
a small amount so that the trapped-electron distribution is localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential with
\[ \tilde{\gamma}_M \tilde{\gamma}_- - \tilde{\gamma}_+ \tilde{\gamma}_- \ll \tilde{\gamma}_+ \tilde{\gamma}_- = 4a_w a_s. \]

Making use of
\[ \partial \tilde{G}_r/\partial \gamma' = \left[ 2/[(\tilde{\gamma}_+)^2 - (\tilde{\gamma}_-)^2] \right] \left[ \delta(\gamma' - \tilde{\gamma}_-) - \delta(\gamma' - \tilde{\gamma}_M) \right], \]
and the fact that \( \tilde{z}_T(\gamma' = \tilde{\gamma}_-) = 0 \) at the bottom of the well, Eq. (25) becomes
\[ \omega^2 - c^2 k^2 - \tilde{\alpha}_0 \tilde{\omega}_p^2 = a_w^2 \tilde{\omega}_p^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \Omega_B^2 C_n(k, \omega)}{(\omega - (k + k_0)v_p)^2 - n^2 \Omega_B^2}, \]
(28)
where the effective bounce frequency \( \Omega_B \) and the \( n \)th harmonic coupling coefficient \( C_n(k, \omega) \) are defined by
\[ \Omega_B^2 = \tilde{\omega}_B^2 (\gamma' = \tilde{\gamma}_M)/\gamma_p^2, \]
(29)
\[ C_n(k, \omega) = \left[ \frac{2J^2_n(k_l^2z'_T(\gamma'))}{\gamma'(\gamma_-^2 - \gamma_+^2)} \right] (\gamma' - \tilde{\gamma}_M). \]

The dispersion relation (28) is rich in harmonic content, with resonant behavior occurring on the right-hand side for \( \omega - (k + k_0)v_p = \pm n \Omega_B \). Introducing the Doppler-shifted frequency \( \Omega \) defined by \( \Omega = \omega - (k + k_0)v_p \), Eq. (28) can be expressed in the equivalent form
\[ L(\Omega) = R(\Omega), \]
(30)
where
\[ L(\Omega) = [\Omega + (k + k_0)v_p] - c^2 k^2 - \tilde{\alpha}_0 \tilde{\omega}_p^2, \]
(31)
\[ R(\Omega) = a_w^2 \tilde{\omega}_p^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \Omega_B^2 C_n(k, \omega)}{\Omega^2 - n^2 \Omega_B^2}. \]

Plots of \( L(\Omega) \) and \( R(\Omega) \) versus real \( \Omega \) are illustrated schematically in Fig. 3 for the case where \( L(\Omega) \) is approximated by
\[ L(\Omega) = 2(\gamma_-^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_0 \tilde{\omega}_p^2)^{1/2} \left[ \Omega + (k + k_0)v_p \right. \]
\[ \left. - (\gamma_-^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_0 \tilde{\omega}_p^2)^{1/2} \right], \]
which corresponds to a forward-propagating electromagnetic wave with
\[ \omega \approx + (c^2 k^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_0 \tilde{\omega}_p^2)^{1/2}. \]

For harmonic numbers \( n \geq 2 \), note that the \( L(\Omega) \) and \( R(\Omega) \) curves always intersect, corresponding to stable oscillations with \( \text{Im} \Omega = 0 \). On the other hand, the fundamental \( n = 1 \) mode may exhibit instability [Fig. 3(a)] or stability [Fig. 3(b)] depending on whether or not the \( L(\Omega) \) and \( R(\Omega) \) curves intersect in the region \( -\Omega_b < \Omega < \Omega_b \) in Fig. 3. The detailed intersection properties in this region are determined by the range of wave number \( k \) under consideration.

In the regime of interest for practical applications, \( v_p = \omega_s/(k_s + k_0) \approx c \), and the beam density (\( \tilde{\rho}_b \)) and electromagnetic pump strength (\( a_s \)) are sufficiently small that the inequalities
\[ \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_0 \tilde{\omega}_p^2}{ck} \ll k_0 v_p \]
(32)
are satisfied. For example,
\[ \frac{\Omega_b}{ck_0} = \frac{(a_w a_s)^{1/2}}{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}_M} k_p/k_0 \]
can be approximated by
\[ \frac{\Omega_b}{ck_0} = \frac{(a_w a_s)^{1/2}}{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}_M} \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} \right] \ll 1 \]
(33)
for \( a_s \ll k_s \) and \( a_s \ll 1 \). For small \( \tilde{\omega}_p^2 \), and \( a_w \) of order unity, the values of \( \omega \) and \( k \) that solve the dispersion rela-
tion (28) are closely tuned to the simultaneous resonance conditions

\[ \omega = c k \left[ 1 + \frac{\hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}_p^2}{c^2 k^2} \right]^{1/2}, \]

\[ \omega - (k + k_0) v_p = \pm n \Omega_B, \tag{34} \]

where \( \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}_p^2/c^2 k^2 << 1 \) is assumed. We denote the simultaneous solutions to Eq. (34) for \((\omega, k)\) by \((\hat{\omega}, \hat{k})\). Making use of \( \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}_p^2/c k << k_0 v_p \) [Eq. (32)] to solve Eq. (34) iteratively for \((\hat{\omega}, \hat{k})\) gives

\[ \hat{k} = \gamma_p \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} k_0 v_p \left( 1 - \epsilon_b + \frac{n \Omega_B}{k_0 v_p} \right) \right], \tag{35} \]

\[ \hat{\omega} = \gamma_p \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} \right] k_0 v_p \left( 1 - \epsilon_b \frac{v_p}{c} \pm \frac{n \Omega_B}{k_0 v_p} \right), \]

where \( \epsilon_b \) is defined by

\[ \epsilon_b = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}_p^2}{\gamma_p^2 (1 + v_p/c) \Omega_B}. \tag{36} \]

Here, the dimensionless parameter \( \epsilon_b << 1 \) describes the shift in wave number and frequency produced by beam dielectric effects. Because \( \epsilon_b << 1 \) and \( \Omega_B/k_0 << 1 \) are assumed [Eq. (32)], we note from Eq. (35) that beam dielectric effects \( (\epsilon_b) \) and the bounce motion of the trapped electrons \( \pm n \Omega_B/k_0 v_p \) produce very small shifts in wave number and frequency relative to the central values \((\hat{\omega}_c, \hat{k}_c)\) defined by

\[ \hat{k}_c = \gamma_p \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} k_0 \right], \tag{37} \]

\[ \hat{\omega}_c = \gamma_p \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} \right] k_0 v_p. \]

Moreover, adjacent sideband modes in Eq. (35) are separated in frequency by an amount equal to \( \gamma_p (1 + v_p/c) \Omega_B \).

Finally, for the case where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential, it is readily shown that

\[ (\hat{k}_L')^2 \zeta'_{(\gamma') = \hat{\gamma}'_M} \]

\[ = [((\hat{k}_L')^2 / (k_L')^2)] [(\hat{\gamma}'_M)^2 - (\hat{\gamma}'_{-M})^2] / \alpha \omega a_2 \]

in Eq. (28). Therefore, using the small-argument expansion \( J_n(x) \sim (n)^{-1} x^n \), it follows from Eq. (29) that the factor \( n^2 \Omega_B^2 C_n(k, \omega) \) occurring in the dispersion relation (28) can be approximated by

\[ n^2 \Omega_B^2 C_n(k, \omega) = \frac{c^2 (k_L')^2}{2 \gamma_p} \left( \frac{n^2}{(n!)^2} \right) \times \frac{((k_L')^2 z_{(\gamma') = \hat{\gamma}'_M})^2}{4}, \tag{38} \]

where \( k_L'(k, \omega) \) is defined in Eq. (26). Evidently, because

\[ (k_L')^2 z_{(\gamma') = \hat{\gamma}'_M}/4 << 1, \]

it follows from Eq. (38) that \( n^2 \Omega_B^2 C_n(k, \omega) \) decreases rapidly with increasing \( n \) for harmonic numbers \( n \geq 2 \). This property, peculiar to the case where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential, allows us to neglect all \( n \geq 2 \) harmonic contributions in Eq. (28) when calculating the stability behavior associated with the fundamental \( (n = 1) \) mode. Furthermore, for the (stable) oscillations at the higher harmonic numbers \( n \geq 2 \), the simultaneous resonance conditions in Eq. (34) provide an excellent estimate of the oscillation frequency and wave number when \( (k_L')^2 z_{(\gamma') = \hat{\gamma}'_M}/4 << 1 \).

**B. Sideband instability for the fundamental \((n = 1)\) mode**

Based on the discussion at the end of Sec. III A, we now consider the fundamental \((n = 1)\) mode and neglect all harmonic contributions in Eq. (28) for \( n \geq 2 \). Therefore, making use of Eq. (38), the dispersion relation (28) is approximated by

\[ [\omega - (k + k_0) v_p]^2 - \Omega_B^2 \left[ (\omega^2 - c^2 k^2 - \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}_p^2) \right] \]

\[ = a_0^2 \hat{\omega}^2 \Omega_B^2 C_1(k, \omega) = \frac{a_0^2 \hat{\omega}^2 c}{2 \gamma_p^2} \],

where \( k_L' = \gamma_p (k + k_0 - v_p \omega/c^2) \). We introduce the dimensionless parameter \( \Gamma_0 \equiv 1 \) defined by

\[ \Gamma_0 \equiv \frac{a_0^2 \hat{\omega}^2 c^3 (k_L')}{4 \hat{\omega}_c^3 k_0^3 \gamma_p^2}, \tag{40} \]

where \( k_L' \) is defined by

\[ \hat{k}_L' \equiv (\hat{k}_c + k_0)/\gamma_p = \gamma_p (1 + v_p/c) k_0. \]

Here, without loss of generality, \( \hat{\omega}_c \) and \( \hat{k}_c \) exactly solve the simultaneous resonance conditions, \( \hat{\omega}_c - (\hat{k}_c + k_0) v_p = 0 \) and \( \hat{\omega}_c = \hat{k}_c \), which give the expressions in Eq. (37). Expressing \( \omega = \hat{\omega}_c + \hat{\omega}_a \) and \( k = \hat{k}_c + \hat{k}_d \), and making use of \( k_L' = \gamma_p (k + k_0 - v_p \omega/c^2) \), it is straightforward to show that the dispersion relation (39) can be expressed (exactly) as

\[ \left[ (\delta\omega - v_p \delta k)^2 - \Omega_B^2 \right] \left[ (\delta\omega - v_p \delta k) - c k_0 \right] \frac{v_p}{c} \left[ \frac{\delta k}{k} + \frac{\hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}_p^2}{2 k_0 k_0 v_p c} \right] + \frac{(\delta\omega - v_p \delta k)^2}{2 \hat{k}_c} + \frac{v_p}{c} (\delta\omega - v_p \delta k) \frac{\delta k}{\hat{k}_c} - \frac{c (\delta k)^2}{2 \gamma_p^2 \hat{k}_c} \]

\[ = \Gamma_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{v_p}{c} \frac{\delta k}{\hat{k}_c} - \frac{v_p}{c} (\delta\omega - v_p \delta k) \right]^2, \tag{41} \]
where use has been made of the definitions of $\hat{k}_c$ and $\hat{\omega}_c$ in Eq. (37).

For $\Gamma_0 \ll 1$ and $\Omega_B / \omega_0 \ll 1$, the solutions to Eq. (41) satisfy $| \delta \omega - \nu_p \delta k | \ll \omega_0 c \ll \hat{k}_c \omega_0$ and $| \delta k | \ll \hat{k}_c$. Therefore, an excellent approximation to Eq. (41) is given by

$$\left[ (\delta \omega - \nu_p \delta k)^2 - \Omega_B^2 \right] \left[ (\delta \omega - \nu_p \delta k) - c k_0 \frac{\nu_p}{c} \frac{\delta k}{\hat{k}_c} + \epsilon_b \right] = \Gamma_0 \omega_0^3 k_0^3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where

$$\epsilon_b = \hat{\omega}_c \hat{\omega}_e \hat{\omega}_b \hat{\omega}_c / 2k_0 \hat{k}_c \nu_p c$$

$$= (\hat{\omega}_c \hat{\omega}_e \hat{\omega}_b \hat{\omega}_c / 2 \gamma_p \hat{\omega}_p c^2 k_0^2 (1 + \nu_p / c)^{-1}$$

is the small parameter ($\epsilon_b \ll 1$) defined in Eq. (36). Here, $\Gamma_0$ is defined in Eq. (40), and $\hat{k}_c$ is defined by

$$\hat{k}_c = \gamma_p^2 (1 + \nu_p / c) \nu_p c k_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless frequency shift $\delta \Omega_B$, bounce frequency $\Omega_B$, and wave number shift $\delta \hat{k}$ defined by

$$\delta \Omega_B = \frac{\delta \omega - \nu_p \delta k}{\Omega_B \omega_0 c},$$

$$\Omega_B = \frac{\Omega_B \omega_0}{k_0 \omega_0 c},$$

$$\delta \hat{k} = \frac{\nu_p}{\Omega_B \omega_0 c} \left[ \frac{\delta k}{\hat{k}_c} + \epsilon_b \right].$$

The dispersion relation (42) can then be expressed in the equivalent form

$$\left[ (\delta \Omega_B^2 - \Omega_B^2) / (\delta \hat{k} - \delta \hat{k}_c) \right] (\delta \Omega - \delta \hat{k}_c) = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

Equation (44) is the final form of the approximate dispersion relation describing the sideband instability for the fundamental ($n = 1$) mode. To summarize briefly, in deriving Eq. (44) it has been assumed that

$$\Omega_B / \omega_0 = [a_w a_z (\gamma_p^2 M^2)^{1/2} (1 + \nu_p / c)] \ll 1$$

[Eq. (33)] and that $\Gamma_0 \ll 1$ [Eq. (40)]. Making use of

$$\hat{k}_c = \gamma_p^2 (1 + \nu_p / c) \nu_p c k_0, \quad \hat{\omega}_c = \hat{k}_c,$$

$$\hat{k}_c = \gamma_p^2 (1 + \nu_p / c) \nu_p c k_0,$$

and $\gamma_p M^2 \approx (1 + a_w a_z)^{1/2}$, it is readily shown that $\Gamma_0$ can be expressed as

$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\omega_0^2}{(1 + a_w a_z)^{1/2} \gamma_p^2 c^2 k_0^2 (1 + \nu_p / c)} < 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

The inequality in Eq. (45) is easily satisfied for the practical case of a tenuous electron beam with $\omega_0^2 / \gamma_p^2 c^2 k_0^2 < 1$.

As an important notational point, we note that the trapped electron density in the pondermotive frame ($\hat{n}_T$) is related to the trapped electron density in the laboratory frame ($n_T$) by $\hat{n}_T = n_T / \gamma_p$. [Similarly, $\hat{n}_b = n_b / \gamma_p$ for the total beam density.] Therefore, factors such as $\hat{\omega}_p^2 / \gamma_p^2 c^2 k_0 = 4 \pi n_T e^2 / \gamma_p^2 m e^2 c^2 k_0^2$ occurring in Eq. (45) can be expressed in the more familiar form

$$\frac{\hat{\omega}_p^2}{\gamma_p^2 c^2 k_0^2} = \frac{(4 \pi n_T e^2 / m)}{\gamma_p^2 c^2 k_0^2},$$

where $n_T$ is the average trapped electron density in the laboratory frame.

In dimensionless variables, Eq. (44) is a cubic equation which determines the complex oscillation frequency $\delta \Omega$ in terms of the wave number $\delta \hat{k}$. Note that the dimensionless parameter $\hat{\Omega}_B = (\Omega_B / \omega_0 c) / \Gamma_0$ is the ratio of two small parameters, $\Omega_B / \omega_0 c$ and $\Gamma_0$. Therefore, $\hat{\Omega}_B$ can cover the range from $\hat{\Omega}_B \ll 1$ (weak-pump regime) to $\hat{\Omega}_B \gg 1$ (strong-pump regime).

C. Analysis of dispersion relation

A detailed investigation of the dispersion relation (44) over a wide range of $\hat{\Omega}_B$ shows that the maximum growth rate occurs for frequency and wave number in the vicinity of

$$\delta \Omega \approx - \Omega_B,$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

$$\delta \hat{k} \approx - \hat{\Omega}_B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

Note that Eq. (46) corresponds to the resonant frequency and wave number $(\hat{\omega}, \hat{k})$ defined in Eq. (35) for the lower sideband (minus sign) of the fundamental ($n = 1$) mode. We therefore introduce the shifted dimensionless frequency and wave number $\delta \Omega'$ and $\delta \hat{k}'$ defined by

$$\delta \Omega' = - \hat{\Omega}_B + \delta \Omega'',$$

$$\delta \hat{k}' = - \hat{\Omega}_B + \delta \hat{k}'.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

Making use of Eq. (47), the dispersion relation (44) can be expressed in the equivalent form

$$(\delta \Omega')^3 (\delta \hat{k}') - 2 \hat{\Omega}_B (\delta \Omega')^2 - 1 = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

Because maximum growth occurs for $\delta \hat{k}' \approx 0$, we solve Eq. (48) for the case $\delta \hat{k}' = 0$ exactly. The solution to $(\delta \Omega')^3 - 2 \hat{\Omega}_B (\delta \Omega')^2 - 1 = 0$ then determines the characteristic maximum growth rate $\text{Im}(\delta \Omega') = \text{Im}(\delta \Omega)$ = $\text{Im}(\delta \Omega) / \Gamma_0 \omega_0 c$. Some straightforward algebra gives

$$\text{Im}(\delta \Omega) = \Gamma_0 \omega_0 c (3)^{1/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{32}{27} \frac{\Omega_B^3}{\Gamma_0^3 k_0^3} \right]^{1/3} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{32}{27} \frac{\Omega_B^3}{\Gamma_0^3 k_0^3} \right)^{-1/2} \right] - \left( 1 + \frac{32}{27} \frac{\Omega_B^3}{\Gamma_0^3 k_0^3} \right)^{-1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)
for \( \delta \tilde{K}' = 0 \), which corresponds to

\[
k = \tilde{k} = \frac{\gamma_0}{2} (1 + \nu_p/c) v_p/c k_0 [1 - \nu_b - \Omega_B/k_0 v_p].
\]

[See Eqs. (35), (43), and (47).] In the weak-pump regime \( (\Omega_B << 1) \), Eq. (49) reduces to

\[
\text{Im}(\delta \omega) = \frac{(3)^{1/2}}{2} \Gamma_0 k_0 c, \quad \text{for } \Omega_B << \Gamma_0 k_0 c.
\] 

(50)

On the other hand, in the strong-pump regime \( (\Omega_B >> 1) \), Eq. (49) gives

\[
\text{Im}(\delta \omega) = \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{(2)^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{\Omega_B} \right]^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } \Omega_B >> \Gamma_0 k_0 c.
\]

(51)

Figure 4 shows a plot of \( \text{Im}(\delta \omega)/(3)^{1/2} \Gamma_0 k_0 c/2 \) versus the normalized pump strength \( \tilde{\Omega}_B = \Omega_B/\Gamma_0 k_0 c \) calculated from Eq. (49). It is evident from Fig. 4 that \( \text{Im}(\delta \omega) \) exhibits a simple scaling with \( \Omega_B/\Gamma_0 k_0 c \) only in the asymptotic limits in Eqs. (50) and (51).

The dispersion relation (48) assumes a particularly simple form in the strong-pump limit \( (\tilde{\Omega}_B >> 1) \). Assuming \( |\delta \tilde{\Omega}'| << \tilde{\Omega}_B \), Eq. (48) can be approximated by the quadratic equation

\[
(\delta \tilde{\Omega}')^2 - (\delta \tilde{K})'(\delta \tilde{\Omega}') + \frac{1}{2 \tilde{\Omega}_B} = 0.
\]

(52)

The solution to Eq. (52) is given by

\[
\delta \tilde{\Omega}' = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\delta \tilde{K}')^\pm \left[ (\delta \tilde{K}')^2 - \frac{2}{\tilde{\Omega}_B} \right]^{1/2} \right].
\]

(53)

The unstable branch in Eq. (53) exhibits instability \( (\text{Im}\delta \tilde{\Omega}' > 0) \) for \( \delta \tilde{K}' \) satisfying

\[
|\delta \tilde{K}'| < \tilde{\Delta K}_M = \frac{(2)^{1/2}}{\tilde{\Omega}_B} = \left[ \frac{2 \Gamma_0 k_0 c}{\Omega_B} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

(54)

The corresponding growth rate obtained from Eq. (53) is

\[
\text{Im}(\delta \omega) = \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{(2)^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{\Omega_B} \right]^{1/2} \left[ 1 - \frac{(\delta \tilde{K}^2)}{(\delta \tilde{K}_M^2)} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

(55)

Typical numerical results are illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6. Shown in Fig. 5 are plots of \( \text{Im}(\delta \tilde{\Omega}') \) [Fig. 5(a)] and \( \text{Re}(\delta \tilde{\Omega}') \) [Fig. 5(b)] versus \( \delta \tilde{\Delta K} \) calculated from Eq. (44) for \( \tilde{\Omega}_B = 1 \). Note from Fig. 5(a) that the growth rate \( \text{Im}(\delta \omega) \) assumes the maximum value \( \text{Im}(\delta \omega) \approx 0.67 \Gamma_0 k_0 c \) for \( \delta \tilde{K} \approx -0.8 \tilde{\Omega}_B \). Moreover, \( \text{Re}(\delta \tilde{\Omega}') \) varies approximately linearly with \( \delta \tilde{K} \) over the unstable range \(-2.3 < \delta \tilde{K} < 0.8\).
To illustrate the dependence of the instability growth rate and bandwidth on $\hat{\Omega}_B$, shown in Fig. 6 are plots of $\text{Im}(\delta \omega)$ versus $\delta k$ calculated from Eq. (44) for $\hat{\Omega}_B = 0.2$, 2, 5, 7, and 10. For a weak pump with $\hat{\Omega}_B = 0.2$, we note from Fig. 6 that the maximum growth rate is $\text{Im}(\delta \omega) = 0.86 \Gamma_0 k_0 c$ for $\delta k \sim 0$, and that the instability bandwidth in $\delta k$ space is quite broad. On the other hand, as $\hat{\Omega}_B$ is increased to values exceeding unity, the maximum growth rate in Fig. 6 decreases [see Eq. 4 and Eq. (49)]; the bandwidth in $\delta k$ space decreases [see Eq. (54)]; and the value of $\delta k$ at maximum growth corresponds to $\delta k \sim -\hat{\Omega}_B$ [see Eq. (46)]. Indeed, for $\hat{\Omega}_B > 1$, the approximate dispersion relation (53) provides an excellent description of stability properties. For example, for $\hat{\Omega}_B = 10$ in Fig. 6, the maximum growth rate $\text{Im}(\delta \omega) \approx 0.22 \Gamma_0 k_0 c$ and bandwidth $2(\delta k)_m = 0.95$ calculated numerically from the cubic dispersion relation (44) are in excellent agreement with the estimates in Eqs. (54) and (55).

To summarize the key results in the strong-pump ($\hat{\Omega}_B \gg \Gamma_0 k_0 c$) and weak-pump ($\hat{\Omega}_B \ll \Gamma_0 k_0 c$) regimes, the following points are noteworthy. First, the characteristic growth rate in the weak-pump regime [Eq. (50)] is independent of pump strength (as measured by $\hat{\Omega}_B$), whereas the growth rate in the strong-pump regime [Eq. (51)] scales as $\text{Im}(\delta \omega) \propto \sqrt{\hat{\Omega}_B} \propto a_w^{-1/4}$. Moreover, for specified $\Gamma_0 k_0 c$, the characteristic growth rate in the strong-pump regime [Eq. (51)] is smaller by a factor $(2\Gamma_0 k_0 c/3\hat{\Omega}_B)^{1/2}$ than the growth rate in the weak-pump regime [Eq. (50)]. It is also evident from Eq. (54) and Fig. 6 that the bandwidth of the sideband instability in $\delta k$ space is greatly reduced in the strong-pump regime where $\hat{\Omega}_B / \Gamma_0 k_0 c > 1$.

Finally, as an illustrative set of system parameters, we consider the case where

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_0 &= 6.28 \text{ cm}, \quad k_0 = 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \\
\beta_w &= 1.70 \text{ kG}, \quad a_w = 1, \\
\hat{n}_T &= 3.14 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \quad \hat{\omega}_pT = 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}, \\
\gamma_p &= 40, \quad \gamma_B = (1 + a_w^2)^{1/2} = 1.414.
\end{align*}
\]

Some straightforward algebra that makes use of Eqs. (33), (45), and (57) gives $\Gamma_0 = 12.3 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\Omega_0 / c k_0 = (2a_w)^{1/2}$, which reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_0 &= 4.97 \times 10^{-3}, \\
\frac{\hat{\Omega}_B}{c k_0} &= 1.414(a_w)^{1/2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, for the choice of parameters in Eq. (57), it follows from Eq. (58) that

\[
\frac{\hat{\Omega}_B}{\Gamma_0 c k_0} \gtrsim 1
\]

accordingly as

\[
a_w \gtrsim 1.24 \times 10^{-5}.
\]

That is, for primary electromagnetic wave amplitude sufficiently large that $a_w > 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$, the sideband instability operates in the strong-pump regime with $\hat{\Omega}_B / \Gamma_0 k_0 c > 1$.

From Eqs. (2) and (3), it follows that the radiation power flow per unit area associated with the primary electromagnetic wave ($\omega_0, k_0$) is given by

\[
P_r / A_s = c (\omega_0 / c k_0) \hat{B}_z / 4\pi.
\]

For $\omega_0 \approx c k_0$, the quantity $P_r / A_s$ can also be expressed as

\[
P_r / A_s = 7.7 \times 10^{-11} \omega_0^2 a_w^2 \frac{W}{\text{cm}^2},
\]

where $\omega_0 = e \hat{B}_z / mc^2 k_0$. For the parameters in Eq. (57), we estimate

\[
\omega_0 \approx 2\gamma_p^2 c k_0 = 9.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1},
\]

and Eq. (61) becomes

\[
P_r / A_s = 7.1 \times 10^{17} a_w^2 \frac{W}{\text{cm}^2}.
\]

As an example, we take the effective area of the radiation channel to be $A_s = \pi r_2^2 = 0.0628 \text{ cm}^2$ corresponding to $r_2 = 1.414 \text{ mm}$. Then for $a_w = 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$ [Eq. (60)], Eq. (62) gives $P_r = 6.9 \text{ MW}$. That is, for $A_s = 0.0628 \text{ cm}^2$ and the choice of parameters in Eq. (57), the strong-pump regime ($\hat{\Omega}_B / \Gamma_0 k_0 c > 1$) corresponds to $P_r > 6.9 \text{ MW}$. Moreover, for $ck_0 = 9.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the condition $a_w > 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$ corresponds to $\hat{B}_z > 68 \text{ G}$. [Compare with the strength of the wigglers field $\hat{B}_w = 1.70 \text{ kG}$ in Eq. (57).]

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Making use of a kinetic formalism based on the Vlasov-Maxwell equations, we have investigated detailed properties of the sideband instability for the case where the trapped electrons are localized near the bottom of the ponderomotive potential. Following a summary of the theoretical model and assumptions (Sec. II), we obtained the dispersion relation (25), which neglects the effects of the untrapped electrons and is valid for general distribution $\hat{G}_0(z'v')$ of trapped electrons localized near the bot-
tom of the ponderomotive potential. For the choice of uniform distribution function $\tilde{G}(\gamma')$ in Eq. (27), the dispersion relation (25) reduced to Eq. (28), which exhibits stable sideband oscillations ($\text{Im} \omega = 0$) for all harmonic numbers $n \geq 2$ (Sec. III A). In Secs. III B and III C, neglecting the harmonic contributions for $n \geq 2$, use was made of the dispersion relation (39) to investigate detailed properties of the sideband instability for the fundamental ($n = 1$) mode. For $\Omega_B/ck_0 < 1$ and $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma < \Gamma$ (the regime of practical interest), Eq. (39) was approximated by Eq. (44) [or equivalently, Eq. (48)], which determines the dimensionless frequency shift $\delta \Omega = (\delta \omega - \nu_0 \delta k)/c \Gamma_0 k_0 c$ in terms of the normalized pump strength $\Omega_B = \Omega_B/c \Gamma_0 k_0 c$ and the dimensionless wave-number shift

$$\delta \hat{k} = (\nu_0/c)(\delta k/\nu_0 + \epsilon_b).$$

Here, $\delta k = k - k_0$, and $\delta \omega = \omega - \omega_0$, where

$$\hat{k}_0 = \gamma_0^2(1 + \nu_0/c)(\nu_0/c)k_0,$$

and $\omega_0 = \omega_0 c$. As a general remark, it was found that instability exists ($\text{Im} \delta \omega > 0$) for $(\omega, k)$ in the vicinity of $(\hat{k}, \hat{k}_0)$ defined by

$$\hat{k} = \gamma_0^2 \left[ 1 + \nu_0/c \right] \frac{\nu_0}{c} k_0 \left[ 1 - \epsilon_b \frac{\Omega_B}{k_0 \nu_0} \right],$$

$$\hat{\omega} = \gamma_0^2 \left[ 1 + \nu_0/c \right] k_0 \nu_0 \left[ 1 - \epsilon_b \frac{\nu_0}{c} - \frac{\Omega_B}{k_0 \nu_0} \right],$$

which corresponds to the lower sideband in Eq. (35) for the fundamental ($n = 1$) mode. Moreover, it was found that the corresponding growth rate $\text{Im} \delta \omega$ and instability bandwidth (in $\delta k$ space) exhibit a sensitive dependence on the normalized pump strength $\Omega_B/c \Gamma_0 k_0 c$ [Fig. 6 and Eq. (49)]. For example, in the weak-pump regime ($\Omega_B/c \Gamma_0 k_0 c \ll 1$), the instability is relatively broadband, and the characteristic maximum growth rate is [Eq. (50)]

$$\text{Im} \delta \omega = \frac{(3)^{1/2}}{2} \Gamma_0 k_0 c.$$

On the other hand, in the strong-pump regime ($\Omega_B/c \Gamma_0 k_0 c \gg 1$), the characteristic maximum growth rate is [Eq. (51)]

$$\text{Im} \delta \omega = \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{(2)^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_0 k_0 c}{\Omega_B} \right]^{1/2},$$

and the corresponding bandwidth is relatively narrow [Eq. (56)]. Note from Eqs. (50) and (51) that the growth rate of the sideband instability is greatly reduced as the dimensionless pump strength is increased to the regime where $\Omega_B/c \Gamma_0 k_0 c > 1$.

As a final point, the dispersion relation (25) and related analysis in Sec. III are valid for electromagnetic wave perturbations with right-circular polarization, in which case it is found that only the lower sideband exhibits instability. A completely parallel analysis can be carried out in circumstances where the wave perturbations have left-circular polarization. In this case, it is found that the role of the lower and the upper sidebands is reversed, and the upper sideband exhibits instability for perturbations with left-circular polarization.
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